APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,900	07/09/2003	Masahiro Hara	11-169	8446

23400	7590	12/29/2005

POSZ LAW GROUP, PLC
12040 SOUTH LAKES DRIVE
SUITE 101
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER
LE, UYEN CHAU N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2876	

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.**	**Applicant(s)**
	10/614,900	HARA, MASAHIRO
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876

All Participants:

(1) *Uyen-Chau N. Le*.

(2) *David G. Posz*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *13 December 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner requested Mr. Posz to submit at least one evidence (e.g., a post card receipt) proving that the IDS (see Miscellaneous Letter filed 01/14/2005) was originally filed with the filing of the present application as indicated in the letter.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)